

BEFORE THE ARIZONA Corporation Commission OR POR TION COMMISSION 1 2 CARL J. KUNASEK JAN 1 2 2000 **CHAIRMAN** 3 JIM IRVIN **COMMISSIONER** DOCKETED BY 4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER 5 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-03648A-98-0630 6 ADVANTAGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS. CORP. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE 7 AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE DECISION NO. 62234 INTERLATA/INTERLATA 8 TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AS A RESELLER. ORDER Open Meeting 10 January 5 and 6, 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 11 BY THE COMMISSION: 12 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 13 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 14 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 15 1. On October 30, 1998, Advantage Telecommunications, Corp. ("Applicant") filed with 16 the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to 17 provide competitive resold intrastate toll telecommunications services in Arizona. 18 2. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold 19 telecommunications providers ("resellers") were public service corporations subject to the 20 jurisdiction of the Commission. 21 3. In Decision No. 59124 (June 23, 1995), the Commission adopted A.A.C. R14-2-1101 22 through R14-2-1115 to regulate resellers. 23 Applicant is a Delaware corporation that has been authorized to do business in 24 Arizona since 1998. 25 5. Applicant is a switchless reseller and plans to resell services provided by IXC 26 Communications. 27 6. On August 20, 1999, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff

28

1	Report.
---	---------

- 7. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that Applicant had provided financial statements for the period ended December 31, 1998, which showed assets of \$1.075 million and retained earnings of \$517,667. Applicant reported a net income of \$464,490 for the year. Based on this information, Staff believed the Applicant has sufficient financial resources to make necessary plant additions or incur operating losses.
- 8. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
 - 9. Staff recommended that:
 - (a) Applicant's application for a Certificate should be approved without a hearing subject to A.A.C. R14-2-1106.B;
 - (b) Applicant's intrastate toll service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
 - (c) Applicant's competitive services should be priced at the effective rates set forth in Applicant's tariffs and the maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by Applicant in its tariffs. The minimum rates for applicant's competitive services should be Applicant's long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and
 - (d) Applicant should be required to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform with the rules if it is determined there is a conflict between Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules.
- 10. By Procedural Order dated September 14, 1999, the Commission set a deadline of October 29, 1999, for filing exceptions to the Staff Report; requesting that a hearing be set; or requesting intervention as interested parties.
- 11. No exceptions were filed to the Staff Report, nor did any party request that a hearing be set.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

DECISION NO. 62234

1	4. Applicant's provision of resold intrastate toll telecommunications services is in the		
2	public interest.		
3	5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate for providing competitive		
4	intrastate toll telecommunications services as a reseller in Arizona.		
5	6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 9 are reasonable and should be		
6	adopted.		
7	<u>ORDER</u>		
8	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Application of Advantage Telecommunications,		
9	Corp. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold		
10	intrastate toll telecommunications services shall be, and the same, is hereby granted.		
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Advantage Telecommunications, Corp. shall comply with		
12	the Staff recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact No. 9.		
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the modified tariffs shall be filed within 30 days from the		
14	effective date of this Decision.		
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
16	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.		
17			
18	Ca Stangela she on Suin Willall		
19	CHARMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive		
21	Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the		
22	Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 12th day of Canuary, 2000.		
23			
24	BRIAN C. MONEIL		
25	EXECUTIVE SECRETARY		
26	DISSENT		
27	JR:DAP		
28			

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	ADVANTAGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, CORP.
2	DOCKET NO.	T-03648A-98-0630
3 4 5	Monica R. Borne Nowalsky, Brontston & Gothard 3500 N. Causeway Blvd. Suite 1442 Metairire, LA 70002	
6 7 8	Sonya A. Bly, President Advantage Telecommunications Corp. 135 S. Swoope Road, Suite 102 Maitland, FL 32751	
9 10 11	Lyn Farmer, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	DN
12 13 14	Deborah Scott, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	N
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	·	
2425		
26		
27		